

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,807	11/21/2003	Yongbin Wei	000458C1	3293
	7590 06/29/200' INCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		JAIN, RAJ K	
SAN DIEGO, (CA 92121	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			<u> </u>	
	•	•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

			- 4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/719,807	WEI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raj K. Jain	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo te. cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commit ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status		·				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 i	November 2003					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		atters prosecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom conjuctation.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			''			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20070620.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 and 7-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 11-14 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,714,526. The conflicting claims of the present application No. 10/719,807 are exactly identical to the US issued Pat. 6,714,526, since the current application and the issued patent to the same applicants are not patentably distinct from each other therefore the claims of the present application are not patentable.

Both, the subject application and the U.S. Patent No. 6,,714,526, have claim languages that are phrased slightly differently to claim the same subject matter, thus they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Art Unit: 2616

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claims 1, and 7 are allowed. The prior art discloses an LAS-CDMA communications system with specifically designed codes referred to as "LS" and "LA" codes used to spread signals for transmission and identify the cell and/or sector within a wireless network that a mobile is communicating with a particular base station.

The prior art however fails to disclose the sizing of subsets of LS codes which comprises of a number of LS codes as function of a "window size" referred to as the interference free window (IFW).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raj K. Jain whose telephone number is 571-272-3145. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raj K. Jain/

Art Unit 2616

June 20, 2007